

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/875,401	06/05/2001	Mark W. Miles	005652.P001	7748	
8791	7590 12/29/2003		EXAMINER		
	SOKOLOFF TAYLOR	NGUYEN, JI	NGUYEN, JENNIFER T		
	HIRE BOULEVARD, SE' LES,  CA   90025	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2674	9	
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/875,40	)1	MILES, MARK W.			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
7		Jennifer T	-	2674				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNisions of time may be available under the provision for (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty uperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum is to reply within the set or extended period for reply ly received by the Office later than three months it patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.130 umunication. (30) days, a reply statutory period wi ly will, by statute,	6(a). In no eve within the statu ill apply and wi cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🛛 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>05 Ju</u>	<u>ne 2001</u> .					
2a)⊠ <sup>*</sup>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌 🤅	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🛛	4) Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🛛 (	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5, and 7-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or	election re	equirement.				
Application	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a)□ acce	pted or b)[	$\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a) ☐ * Se 13) ☐ Ac sin 37 a) 14) ☐ Ac ref	Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internative the attached detailed Office active cknowledgment is made of a claim of the certific certifi	y documents y documents s of the priori onal Bureau on for a list of for domestic ed in the first inguage provi	have been have been ty docume (PCT Rule of the certific priority under sentence visional appriority under the priority under th	n received. n received in Application nts have been received 17.2(a)). ied copies not received der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of the specification or plication has been received.	on No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.  eived.  and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F		·		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/875,401 Page 2

Art Unit: 2674

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is responsive to Amendment filed on 10/06/2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hikida et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,549,195).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, referring to Fig. 1, Hikida teaches a touch screen display comprising a pressure tolerant display including a plurality of interference modulation elements (i.e., material LC); and a touch screen (20) directly coupled to the display (10) (col. 4, lines 29-65).

Regarding claim 3, Hikida further teaches the touch screen is a pressure sensitive touch screen (col. 5, lines 24-33).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

ι.

. Application/Control Number: 09/875,401

Art Unit: 2674

5. Claims 5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hikida et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,549,195) in view of Nitta (U.S. Patent No. 6,275,220).

Regarding claim 5, Hikida teaches the display comprises: a substrate having a first surface (11) and second surface (12); an array of the interference modulation elements fabricated on the first surface (11) of the glass substrate; a seal (13) coupled to the first surface (11) of the glass surface (see Figure and col. 4, lines 29-65).

Hikida differs from claim in that he does not specifically teach a packaging component coupled to the seal. However, referring to Fig. 2, Nitta teaches a packaging component (22) coupled to the seal (12) (col. 2, lines 24-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the packaging component as taught by Nitta in the system of Hikida in order to simplify the display, reduced weight and bulk of the device.

Regarding claim 7, Hikida further teaches the touch screen (20) is directly coupled to the second surface (12) of the display (10) (see Figure and col. 4, lines 29-65).

Regarding claim 8, Hikida further teaches a front surface element (121) coupled to the second surface (12) of the substrate (col. 4, lines 29-65 of Hikida).

Regarding claim 8, Hikida further teaches the touch screen (20) is directly coupled to the front surface element (121) (col. 4, lines 29-65 of Hikida).

6. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

. Application/Control Number: 09/875,401

Art Unit: 2674

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicants' arguments file 10/06/2003, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because as follows:

In response to applicants' argument filed "Hikida fails to teach or suggest a pressure-tolerant display including a plurality of interference modulation elements". However, Hikida teaches a touch liquid crystal display device with the liquid crystal material. The liquid crystal material presents in the LCD operate on the principle of interference as it is directly touched. Therefore, it is believed that the limitations of claims 1-3, 5, and 7-9 are still met by Hikida and Nitta and the rejection is still maintained.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

. Application/Control Number: 09/875,401

Art Unit: 2674

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jennifer T. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-3225**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A Hjerpe can be reach at 703-305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Jennifer T. Nguyen 12/22/2003

HICKARD RUZAKE

Page 5